Brooks, Laura

From:

Rampe, John

Sent:

Thursday, September 08, 2005 9:12 AM Mark Aguilar, Spreng, Carl, David Kruchek

To: Cc:

Brooks, Laura; Castaneda, Norma; Walstrom, Jan

Subject:

FW: draft response to comments on the N&E Surface Water and Sediment Contamination SR



and Sedime...

Mark, Carl and Dave:

Attached for your review are our responses to comments for the Nature and Extent of Surface Water and Sediment Summary Report. Please let us know if you have any comments.

JR

----Original Message----From: Brooks, Laura

Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 7:50 AM

To: Rampe, John; Castaneda, Norma; Surovchak, Scott; Schassburger,

Cc: Wiemelt, Karen; Walstrom, Jan; Henry, Richard; Dayton, Christine Subject: draft response to comments on the N&E Surface Water and Sediment Contamination SR

John,

Attached is the draft response to comments on the Nature and Extent of Surface Water and Sediment Contamination Summary Report dated July 29, 2005. Please review and let me know if you have any comments. If you do not have any comments, please forward to the regulators for their review. Thanks, LMB << Draft Surface Water and Sediment Responses to Agency Comments.doc>>



ADMIN RECORD



Comment Responses To 8/18/05 EPA Comments, 8/24/05 CDPHE Comments on July 29, 2005 Draft Nature and Extent of Surface Water and Sediment Summary Report

No.	Comment From	Comment	Response
1	EPA	Page5, Section 3.2. This section states that data adequacy and quality are assessed in Volume 2 of the CRA and the results are presented in the Data Adequacy Report. Please note that the Data Adequacy Report has been submitted for regulatory agency review and comments are pending.	Comment noted.
2	EPA	Page 8, Section 4.2. The first sentence of this paragraph states, "Based on the AOI screening process shown in Figure 3, 19 surface water AOIs were retained and included 6 VOCs, 6 metals, 5 radionuclides, and 2 WQP." However, Section 4.2.4 and the bottom of this page states, "Seven VOC AOIs (benzene, carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, tetrachloroethene, and trichloroethene) were identified in surface water. Please correct this discrepancy.	There are 7 surface water VOC AOIs, including vinyl chloride which should have been retained as an AOI (see response to EPA Comment 5), for a total of 20 surface water AOIs. The text and tables will be modified accordingly.
3	EPA	Page 14, Section 6.3.1, first paragraph. This paragraph identifies 7 surface water AOIs. However, according to Table 3, there are only 6 AOIs. Please correct this discrepancy.	There are 7 surface water VOC AOIs, including vinyl chloride which should have been retained as an AOI (see response to EPA Comment 5), for a total of 20 surface water AOIs. The text and tables will be modified accordingly.
4	EPA	Page 17, Section 6.4. The first two sentences state, "Nineteen surface water AOIs are identified and retained for further evaluation. These AOIs include 6 VOCs, 6 metals, 5 radionuclides, and 1 WQP." The numbers presented in the second sentence do not match the first sentence. Please correct this discrepancy.	The text will be modified to indicate that there are 2 WQP AOIs. The text and tables will also be modified to indicate that there are 20 surface water AOIs, including 7 VOCs.
5	EPA	Table 2. Please provide a footnote and explanation for eliminating vinyl chloride from the surface water AOI list.	Vinyl chloride should not have been eliminated as an AOI since its most recent results exceed the surface water standard along South Walnut Creek. Vinyl chloride will be retained as a surface water AOI. The text and tables will be revised accordingly.
1	СДРНЕ	Section 4.1.4 - We have a concern with Figure 3, specifically Screening Step 4. No AOI should be eliminated simply because it is not seen or above the SW standard for one sampling event. As such, screen 4 should be removed or modified. If an analyte has been present in water above standards, just having the latest analysis below standards is not sufficient justification to eliminate it from consideration as an AOI. Therefore, this screening process should be modified and Screen 4 removed as the process is not appropriate without a historical review of the data collected. Only if the AOI has an appropriate	Review of Table 2 (Screen 4) indicates that none of the constituents whose frequency of detection above the surface water standard was greater than 0% were eliminated as an AOI based on Screen 4 for the most recent sampling result. Therefore, Screening Step 4 for surface water can be eliminated per CDPHE suggestion. The text and Figure 3 will be modified accordingly. In addition, Figure 3 will also be modified to show a new Screening Step 4 that eliminates

No.	Comment From	Comment .	Response
		history of declining or non-detect values over an extended period of sampling intervals, and without concerns that it could still be a AOI (GW monitoring	analytes whose frequency of detection is less than 1% as an AOI and retains analytes whose frequency of detection is
		data, historical knowledge, future possible changes in GW flow, etc), should it be removed as an AOI.	greater than or equal to 1% as an AOI. Analytes that are retained in Step 4 will be passed to Screening Step 5. To date,
			the 1% frequency of detection screen has been included in the
			text, but not specifically shown on Figure 3, as part of Screening Step 3. In addition, references to the text sections
			will be included on Figures 3 and 23 so that the reader will be
			aware that additional information about each screening step is provided in the text.
		Section 4.1.5 - Process knowledge of materials used at Rocky Flats	DOE recognizes that process knowledge at RFETS is not
		is limited. While some processes are well known, others are not. Process knowledge may only be used in part to make judgement calls.	perfectly known. However, process knowledge alone is not used to eliminate a constituent as an AOI. Other analyte
		January and the second	criteria such as its areal distribution relative to RFETS
		·	activities, its proximity to contaminant sources, accelerated
2	CDPHE		actions performed to remove contaminant source(s), and its natural occurrence and distribution in the environment are also
			considered when evaluating whether to retain or eliminate a
•			constituent as an AOI. A reference will also be included in this
			section and Section 5.1.4 to a recently prepared white paper (DOE, 2005a) on the use of metal and radionuclide
		·	constituents at the site.
-		A section missing from Section 4.1 is the decision based on	The professional judgement screen (AOI Screen 5) is presented
3	CDPHE	professional judgement whether or not to keep a chemical as an AOI.	in the SR as Section 4.1.5. However, text in the existing section will be modified in response to CDPHE Comment 6
			(see below).
		Section 5.1.2 - An assumption is made that all the analytes have a	The nature and extent evaluation did not assume that all
	,	background. The decision needs to be made first whether it is	analytes have a background value. Background mean + two
		reasonable to assume a background value exists at the site. For example: thallium.	standard deviation values used to evaluate surface water and sediment nature and extent were developed as part of the
		example: manual.	Comprehensive Risk Assessment (DOE, 2005b). Background
4	CDPHE		values developed for sediments in the CRA were developed
7	CDITE		using the regulatory agency-approved CRA Methodology
			(DOE, 2004). For constituents (organic compounds, some inorganic, and some radionuclides) that do not have
			background values, it was assumed that detection of these
			constituents above the analytical detection limit indicates their
			presence in the environment. A footnote will be added to



No.	Comment From	Comment	Response
			Figures 3 and 23 to indicate the background assumptions.
		Section 5.1.3 - Just because a constituent in sediment is below the	This SR provides an evaluation of the current extent of surface
		PRG in the past does not mean it won't exceed in the future. Remember, a new	water and sediment constituents at RFETS. As such, future
		hydraulic regime will be established in the next few years. As such, please	conditions are not evaluated or discussed in the nature and
		remove or properly modify this step.	extent text. The nature and extent SRs are a section of the
_	CDPHE		comprehensive RI/FS for the site. DOE recognizes that a new
5	CDLUE		hydraulic regime may be established in the future and that it
			may have an impact on the extent of constituents at the site.
		·	Future constituent concentrations, hydrologic changes, and
			their potential impacts are addressed in the Fate and Transport
1.			section (Section 7) of the RI/FS report.
		Section 5.1.4 - Please modify this step to recognize that process	The text will be modified to indicate that process knowledge of
		knowledge at Rocky Flats is not perfectly known. As such, process	constituent use at RFETS is not perfectly known. The text will
		knowledge may be used, in part, for a decision based on professional	also be modified to indicate that process knowledge alone is
6		judgement.	not used to eliminate a constituent. Other analyte criteria such
]		as its areal distribution relative to RFETS activities, its
		· ·	proximity to contaminant sources, accelerated actions
	CDPHE	,	performed to remove the contaminant source(s), and its natural
			occurrence and distribution in the environment are also
			considered when evaluating whether to retain or eliminate a
			constituent as an AOI. A reference will also be included in this
			section and Section 4.1.5 to a recently prepared white paper
			(DOE, 2005a) on the use of metal and radionuclide
		•	constituents at the site.

References

- U. S. Department of Energy, 2004, Final Comprehensive Risk Assessment Work Plan and Methodology, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, CO, September.
- U. S. Department of Energy, 2005a, Review of Historical Knowledge Related to Metals and Selected Radionuclides Identified As Environmental Media Analytes of Interest, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, Colorado, July 15.
- U. S. Department of Energy, 2005b, Draft Comprehensive Risk Assessment for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Volume 2, Site Description and Data Evaluation, March 31.